

class rather than the pale class. Because of the very sharp expression of variegation among the Spm carrying kernels and also because of the faint pigment produced in its absence, this <sup>5718</sup> state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  has been useful in a number of studies of the Spm system.

Subsequent tests conducted with plants having state 5718  $a_1^{m-1}$  and Spm have indicated that the appearance of ~~kernels~~ having the  $A_1$  phenotype in its progeny is rare. The number with this phenotype derived from tests of plant 6046B-4 entered in table 15, is unusually high. ~~In this cases,~~  
~~The same collection of pollen was used to make both the~~ <sup>for</sup> self-pollination and <sup>for</sup> the test cross entered in this table. It is suspected that the tassel of this plant ~~from~~ which pollen was collected had a small <sup>mutant</sup>  $A_1$  sector, and that the pollen grains ~~xxxxxxxx~~ <sup>carried the  $A_1$  mutant and</sup> derived from anthers in this sector were <sup>unusually high</sup> responsible for the appearance of the ~~relatively large~~ number of kernels exhibiting the  $A_1$  phenotype.

A number of plants were grown from <sup>kernels derived</sup> ~~the~~ ears produced by crosses conducted with plant 5720. With rare exceptions, the state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  in ~~this plant~~ ~~xxxxxx~~ and in its progeny gives rise in plant and kernel to mutant areas that exhibit only low levels of pigment intensity. As mutation inducing events may occur very early in development of ~~either~~ <sup>the</sup> plant ~~tissues~~  
~~and including its~~ sporogenous cells, ~~xx in gametophytic cells, and in the kernel,~~ a number of

gametes are formed by plants having this state that ~~have~~ <sup>carry</sup> a mutant of  $a_1^{m-1}$

Therefore, many kernels appear on test cross ears that express this.

One grade of pigment intensity is expressed in each but the range of this  
(photos - -)  
among the mutant carrying kernels is from very faint to medium dark.

When a plant having this state 5720 of  $a_1^{m-1}$  and also Spm is used as a pollen parent in the cross to plants homozygous for  $a_1$ , only one of the three chromosomes 3 in the endosperms of kernels on the resulting ear carries this  $a_1^{m-1}$ . Among the variegated class of kernels with this constitution, mutant areas appear. Each expresses one particular grade of pigment intensity within it. When, however, the reciprocal cross is made, among the variegated kernels on the resulting ear, <sup>such</sup> mutant areas ~~may~~ <sup>but often</sup> appear within ~~them~~ <sup>from</sup> which there are smaller areas exhibiting deeper shades of pigment intensity.

This is expected <sup>because in</sup> as these kernels <sup>state 5720</sup> ~~have this state~~ of  $a_1^{m-1}$  <sup>is carried</sup> in two of its three chromosomes 3, <sup>and</sup> mutation-inducing events <sup>may</sup> occur to each independently of ~~one another~~ <sup>do</sup> ~~another~~ <sup>would give rise to this type of variegated expression.</sup> Types of kernels appearing on ears produced <sup>by the</sup> from crosses of ~~some~~ plants that were  $a_1^{m-1}$  (state 5720)/ $a_1$  to plants homozygous for  $a_1$

are given in table 16. In this table it will be seen that the number of

uniformly pigment d kernels <sup>is</sup> high as ~~well as~~ <sup>is</sup> the number of colorless

*Among the uniformly pigmented class of kernels, not one but many different grades of pigment kernels. It was subsequently learned that with state 5720, no anthocyanin intermediates were represented, each kernel showing one particular grade of them.*

pigment is produced in either plant or kernel when Spm is absent. ~~There~~

The high rate of germinal mutation produced by this 5720 state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  <sup>in the presence of Spm</sup> resembled that produced by the original state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  from which it was

derived. However, with this original state, the majority of germinal <sup>mutants that express high levels pigment intensity resembling or</sup> mutations give rise to <sup>1</sup> ~~the full or near full  $A_1$  type expression.~~ The <sup>those of germinal mutations low</sup> ~~proportion~~ that express ~~lower~~ levels of pigment intensity <sup>produced with</sup> ~~is~~ <sup>1</sup> considerably <sup>much lower frequencies.</sup> ~~lower.~~

Because of the very high rate of germinal mutation given by the original <sup>1</sup> state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  <sup>due to</sup>, it was not considered to be a useful one for analysis of the

mode of operation of the Spm system and tests of it were not continued

beyond the 1951 season. <sup>In contrast, state 5720, which is similar to the</sup> Original state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  <sup>regard to</sup> in <sup>1</sup> terms of occurrence of mutation but not in regard to type, <sup>has been quite useful.</sup>

approaching that produced by  $A_1$ .

5. Individuality of expression of each state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  when two different ones are ~~combined~~<sup>present</sup> in a plant or kernel.

During the summer of 1951, crosses were made between plants

carrying different states of  $a_1^{m-1}$  and the type of expression <sup>of each</sup> state ~~in~~<sup>among</sup> the kernels on the resulting ear was examined. <sup>crosses were made</sup> The combinations

<sup>that would bring together in a single kernel the following states of  $a_1^{m-1}$ :</sup>  
of states were as follows: state 5719A-1 with state 5720 and with the

original state, state 5718 with the original state, state 5720 with the

original state, and state 5719A-2 with state 5700A, not previously discussed.

<sup>in later years, other combinations of states were made, however, no additional information</sup>

The most instructive cross ~~of that~~ illustrated the individuality of

states of  $a_1^{m-1}$  <sup>when combined in a kernel or plant</sup> was that between plants carrying state 5719A-1 <sup>and</sup> plants

carrying state 5720, <sup>and</sup> the types of kernels appearing on <sup>an</sup> the ear produced

by one such cross will be given. Pollen from plant 6042-9, that carried

state 5720, was placed on the silks of a tiller ear of plant 6046C-1

<sup>on order to make clear the results obtained from this cross, it will be necessary to consider other crosses made under each of these two plants</sup>  
which ~~carried state 5719A-1~~ was homozygous for state 5719A-1. The

first ear of the main stalk of plant 6046C-1 was self-pollinated and on the

resulting ear there were <sup>each</sup> 4 uniformly pigmented kernels exhibiting ~~the~~  $A_1$ -

type pigment, 31 <sup>kernels</sup> that were uniformly <sup>examiners, but the intensity of this was lower, and it was the same in all kernels</sup> ~~pale colored~~, and 377 that had small

spots of the  $A_1$  type pigment in a colorless background, -- the typical

pattern produced by state 5719A-1. <sup>and, kernel that appeared to be totally colorless,</sup> Pollen of this plant was ~~also~~ placed

<sup>Since plant 6046C-1 was purple in constitution, the classification of kernel types was readily accomplished</sup>  
on the silks of a plant homozygous for  $a_1$  and on the resulting ear there

was 1 <sup>kernel exhibiting the  $A_1$  phenotype,</sup> ~~uniformly pigmented kernel~~ ~~showing the  $A_1$  type~~ of the  $A_1$  type,

agreed with what was given from other plants having them.

212 kernels that were uniformly pale colored, and 465 that expressed the variegation pattern typically produced by state 5719A-1. <sup>of</sup> Plant 6042-9 had been derived from a <sup>variegated</sup> kernel on the self-pollinated ear of plant 5720.

Pollen from a plant homozygous for  $a_1$  had been placed on the silks of ~~the~~ <sup>of a</sup> tiller ear of this plant. The kernel types ~~that appeared on the resulting~~ <sup>on this ear</sup> ear were as follows: 32 uniformly pigmented kernels, each ~~having~~ <sup>showing</sup> a particular level of intensity of <sup>pigment</sup> ~~pigmentation~~ <sup>in the aleurone layer</sup> but in ~~them~~ <sup>all of them</sup>, this level was low, 102 variegated kernels with ~~both~~ <sup>medium</sup> large and small areas exhibiting low levels of <sup>in them</sup> pigment intensity ~~and these appeared~~ in a colorless background, and <sup>in addition</sup>, 239 kernels

<sup>one parent</sup> in which no pigment was observed. When pollen of plant 6042-9, with <sup>an ear of a tiller</sup> state 5720, was placed on silks of ~~a tiller ear~~ <sup>of a tiller</sup> of plant 6046C-1, it was ~~then~~ <sup>as well be evident shortly</sup> learned that the pollen parent was homozygous for state 5720. Thus, the cross was made between a plant homozygous for state 5719A-1 and one

homozygous for state 5720. There were 216 kernels on the ear this cross produced. Four of them were uniformly pale colored. In 18 others, spots <sup>with</sup> ~~having~~  $A_1$ -type pigment were present in a ~~uniformly~~ lightly pigmented background <sup>and</sup> the pattern of the <sup>2</sup>  $A_1$  spots was that characteristically produced by state 5719A-1. The intensity of the background pigment was uniform over the aleurone layer <sup>in</sup> ~~for~~ each kernel but the level of this differed among these kernels. <sup>the range of</sup> And, these levels were ~~the~~ the same as ~~those~~ that ~~are~~ ~~represented~~

appearing among the uniformly pigmented kernels on ears produced by the cross of plants having state 5720 to plants homozygous for  $a_1$ . In addition,

*of another type*  
there were 194 variegated kernels. These kernels had the pattern of  $A_1$

spots produced by state 5719A-1 and also the pattern of lightly pigmented areas characteristically produced by state 5720 *but the background was colorless,* (see photos). The over-all *of variegation exhibited by these kernels.* pattern was that which ~~could be expected~~ *would arise* from superposition of the

pattern produced by one state ~~over~~ *on* that produced by the other. Each *was* state expressed *its* own type of response to the presence of Spm.

It was assumed that in the 18 kernels showing the variegation pattern

*undisturbed by a colorless one, had been*  
produced by state 5719A-1 on a uniformly pigmented background, ~~a stable~~ *mutant* *function of a male gamete carrying a mutant allele from state 5720, and that this* mutant, stable in the presence of Spm, ~~had been contributed by the parent~~ *mutant was*

~~carrying state 5720.~~ The correctness of this interpretation was made

evident in tests of the plants derived from kernels of this type that were

conducted in a subsequent year. The plant derived from each carried a

of  $a_1^{m-1}$  in one chromosome 3, mutant/whose expression was stable either in the presence or the absence of

Spm and the state 5719A-1 of  $a_1^{m-1}$  in the homologue, which continued to

*plant*  
express its individual variegation pattern in subsequent generations.

*discussion*  
A description of the types of test that established this will be postponed

until a description may be given of the means by which such tests are made

possible. On contrast, those plants arising from the second class of variegated

*seeds carried state 5720 on one chromosome 3 and state 5719A-1 in the homologue. When isolated from these plants, neither state appeared to have been affected in any way by its position in the cell.*

As described earlier (page. ), plant 5700A was derived from a very pale colored kernel on an ear produced by cross of the original  $a_1^{m-1}$  carrying plant to one that was homozygous for  $a_1$ . Pollen of plant 5700A was placed on silks of an ear of a plant homozygous for  $a_1$ . Two types of kernels appeared on this ear, those that were very pale in color and those that were colorless. An accurate classification of the two types was not attempted as the intensity of pigment in the pale class was so low that it was feared that some <sup>belonging</sup> ~~in~~ <sup>few to be detected and would</sup> the class would be placed with the colorless class. Some kernels that certainly had pigment in them were selected from this ear, and the plants grown from them in the summer of 1951 were given culture number 6078. At least one ear of each plant in this culture received pollen from a plant homozygous for  $a_1$  and  $sh_2$ . On all ears so produced, there were only the two classes of kernels: <sup>very</sup> pale colored and colorless. <sup>an</sup> ~~and~~ <sup>distinction of type for all kernels</sup> ~~accurate classifications~~ <sup>for each type</sup> were also difficult to make. <sup>silks of</sup> On some of these ears. As an example, <sup>the first ear on the main</sup> stalk of plant 6078-5 received pollen from a plant homozygous for  $a_1$  and  $sh_2$ . On the resulting ear, 256 kernels certainly had pigment in them, <sup>but the remaining 314 appeared to be colorless.</sup> ~~but~~ <sup>in kernels pigment could not be detected by visual examination.</sup> A tiller ear of this plant was self-pollinated and similar difficulties were encountered in making accurate classifications of the <sup>that appeared on it</sup> ~~two~~ classes of kernels. Among the 424 <sup>kernels</sup>

this ear produced, 254 had faint pigment in them but the remaining 170

appeared to be colorless. The silks of an ear on another tiller of ~~this~~

6078-5

whose constitution was  $m-1$  (state 5719A-2)/ $a_1$  plant received pollen from plant 6080C-7 which was a  $a_1$

constitution. The kernel types appearing on this ear were surprising. Before describing (For description of this state, see pages 1-4). The first ear on the them, it will be necessary to consider the constitution of plant 6080C-7. (own)

main stalk of plant 6080C-7 ~~had been~~ <sup>was</sup> self-pollinated and among the 234

on this ear kernels ~~it produced~~, one was fully  $A_1$  in phenotype, none were pale, 172

were variegated for dots of  $A_1$  in a colorless background, and 61 were

colorless. The second ear on the main stalk received pollen from a plant

homozygous for  $a_1$ . On the ear this cross produced there were 11 pale

(state 5719A-2 variegation pattern)

colored kernels, 97 showing dots of  $A_1$  in a colorless background, and 127

that were colorless. <sup>9</sup> Pollen collected from a tiller of ~~this~~ plant 6080C-7

was placed on the silks of an ear ~~produced by a second~~ <sup>of a</sup> tiller of plant

3 other crosses with plant 6078-5 were discussed above. As mentioned then,

6078-5. <sup>1</sup> The types of kernels appearing on this ear were surprising.

[product of this latter cross]

There were 2 kernels exhibiting the full  $A_1$ -type pigmentation, 75 kernels

that were uniformly pale colored, and 132 that appeared to be colorless.

In addition, there were 259 variegated kernels. Eighty-three of them had

the well-defined

dots of  $A_1$  in a colorless background--the pattern of variegation associated

a very dense pattern of  $A_1$  dots as well as some large

with state 5719A-2. The remaining 176 variegated kernels exhibited <sup>1</sup> a

few large areas ~~of~~ <sup>with</sup> full  $A_1$ -type pigment <sup>in them</sup> and also very many small spots

~~of this that were situated quite close to one another.~~ In some of these



*in addition* *exhibiting pale coloration* *were present*  
 kernels there were a few ~~pale~~ areas and in other a few areas that appeared  
 to be colorless. *uncharacterized by the production in the kernels*  
 It was suspected immediately that the plants in culture  
 on the absence of Spm, this state *only a very faint amount of anthocyanin pigment*  
 6078 had a modified state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  but no Spm. *1* Introduction of Spm

through a male gamete of plant 6080C-7 revealed the pattern of variegation

this state would produce in its presence. *+* Subsequent tests substantiated

*deductions drawn regarding the state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  present in plants of culture 6078, and in its parent, 5700A.*  
 this. *1* They commenced with plants derived from the pale class of kernels

on ears of ~~these~~ plants in culture 6078 that were produced by *the* cross of them

with plants homozygous for  $a_1$  and  $sh_2$ . The types of test conducted with

these plants will be discussed later. ~~Both they and their progeny~~

*newly discovered*  
 carrying this 5700A state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  *this state* proved to be useful in ~~xxxxxxx~~  
*and this will be considered when these aspects are discussed.*  
 examining some aspects of the Spm system of operation. *1* Plants derived

from the newly appearing variegated class of kernels on the ear produced

by the cross of 6078-5 by 6080C-7 were also examined. *1* Some of them were

*that of*  
 $a_1^{m-1}$  (state 5700A) /  $a_1$  and others were  $a_1^{m-1}$  (state 5700A) /  $a_1^{m-1}$  (state

5719A-2). *Each* ~~Both~~ states appeared in the progeny of plants having this  
 latter constitution, *each* ~~each~~ having retained its own properties *particular during the period under*  
*1* while together

in the nuclei of *a* ~~one~~ plant. ~~Further~~ Discussion of the manner in which

these tests were *also* made will be postponed until the methods adopted for them

may be considered. *1*

Besides the intercrosses of plants carrying the distinctive states of  $a_1^{m-1}$ , described above, crosses were made between plants having the original state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  in one chromosome 3 and  $a_1$  in the homologue, with plants having one of the following derived states: state 5718, state 5719A-1, and state 5720. ~~The types of kernels appearing on the ears these crosses produced will not be considered here in detail.~~ <sup>mentioned</sup> As stated earlier, the <sup>plants having</sup> ~~gametes each carrying a mutant of  $a_1^{m-1}$ .~~ original state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  gives rise to many ~~germinal mutations.~~ <sup>as they express the higher alleles of  $A_1$  in both kernel and plant.</sup> The majority of kernels and plants that have one such germinal mutation in them ~~exhibit the full  $A_1$  phenotype.~~ <sup>Some of them, however, express much lower anthocyanin and there is a wide range in the degree of this.</sup> ~~xxxxxx~~ lesser grades of pigment intensity ~~both~~ in kernel and plant. Many <sup>alterations of  $a_1^{m-1}$  that effect their change in gene action</sup> of these are stable in the presence of Spm. <sup>has been present initially,</sup> ~~this could be observed directly~~ <sup>by the presence</sup> in some kernels <sup>from</sup> on ears produced by <sup>of</sup> the cross conducted with plants carrying state 5718 or state 5719A-1 to plants carrying the original state of  $a_1^{m-1}$ . Before these phenotypes are discussed, <sup>to</sup> ~~this that appear on ears produced by cross of plants~~ <sup>considering this,</sup> attention will be given to the behavior of the original <sup>having the original</sup> state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  <sup>by plants homozygous for  $a_1$  will be described</sup> in plants that carry ~~this state in one chromosome 3 and  $a_1$~~  in the homologue.

In the summer of 1951, 48 plants were grown from variegated kernels appearing on ears produced by cross of the original  $a_1^{m-1}$  carrying plant to plants that were homozygous for  $a_1$ . These kernels exhibited a pattern of variegation that was common to most of the variegated kernels produced by

test crosses conducted with the original  $a_1^{m-1}$  carrying plant (photos ).

The plants derived from these kernels likewise exhibited the same bizarre

types of variegation pattern that characterized the appearance of the

kernels. It was obvious that change <sup>of expression</sup> of  $a_1^{m-1}$  <sup>had</sup> <sup>occurred</sup> in a number of <sup>different</sup> cells of <sup>a</sup> the plant and many of them <sup>occurred</sup> early in <sup>its</sup> plant development.

The <sup>plants</sup> were composites of distinct sectors in each of ~~which~~ a particular

phenotype was expressed. Many of them exhibited the  $A_1$  phenotype, in

others, the pigment was uniformly distributed within the sector but ~~the~~ <sup>its</sup>

intensity ~~of it~~ was low. Still other sectors were distinguished by ~~the~~

distinctive types of variegation patterns <sup>each</sup> within ~~them~~. ~~Some of these~~

<sup>Some of</sup> These <sup>had</sup> ~~were expressed as~~ streaks of the  $A_1$  type pigment in a non-pigmented background, and <sup>different among the sectors, each showing</sup> the number and size of these streaks ~~was not the same in all~~ <sup>its own particular</sup> sectors exhibiting <sup>such altered</sup> ~~distinctive variegated~~ patterns. In a few plants,

the type of pattern of variegation <sup>quite</sup> exhibited by one tiller differed

greatly from that <sup>exclusively</sup> of the main stalk and <sup>by</sup> of other tillers of the same

plant.

Some of the variegated plants that were  $a_1^{m-1}/a_1$  in constitution were used as female parents in crosses with plants homozygous for  $a_1$  and  $sh_2$ .

The types of kernels appearing on the resulting ears are given in A of

table 17. Pollen of two plants were used on silks of plants carrying

a modified state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  (state 5718 or 5719A-1). To serve as a control to such crosses, part of the pollen collected from each plant was placed on silks of ears of plants that were homozygous for  $a_1$ . The kernel types on <sup>modul 1/2 test of two plants</sup> these ears are shown in B of table 17. In this table, the kernels <sup>with phenotype</sup> that ~~resembling those given by~~ appeared to be fully  $A_1$  ~~in phenotype~~ are placed under the heading " $A_1$ ".

Under the heading of "pale" are placed those kernels that were uniformly pigmented but in which the intensity of <sup>this pigment is obviously much</sup> ~~this was~~ lower than that produced <sup>Among the kernels</sup> by  $A_1$ . Within this class, <sup>the</sup> ~~there was a range in~~ intensity <sup>changed</sup> from very faintly pigmented <sup>in</sup> some kernels to medium dark <sup>for</sup> others. All kernels

exhibiting variegation for anthocyanin pigmentation are ~~entered~~ under the heading "variegated". Most of these kernels <sup>had both</sup> ~~exhibited~~ large and small <sup>and colorless areas. (Photos --)</sup> areas with the  $A_1$  phenotype and pale areas. In the last column of <sup>17</sup> ~~this~~ table are entered the number of kernels <sup>that</sup> expressing <sup>ed</sup> a markedly altered pattern of variegation, similar in types to those ~~that give rise to the~~ altered states that have been considered in previous sections. It may be seen that the number of them is low. However, other changed states, less easy to detect, were being produced. One of them was detected <sup>on a plant</sup> because it was present in ~~the~~ cell whose descendent cells gave rise to an <sup>on this plant. This ear</sup> ear ~~that~~ had been used in a cross with a plant homozygous for  $a_1$  and  $sh_2$ .

The pattern expressed by the variegated kernels on the resulting ear was of one type and it differed from that given by the original state of  $a_1^{m-1}$ . This similarity in pattern made it possible to know that an altered state was present in the cells that gave rise to this ear. In the presence of Spm, this altered state produces many small  $A_1$  dots, located very close to each other, and also some larger areas exhibiting the  $A_1$  phenotype (Photo. ). To the naked eye, some kernels having this pattern of variegation may appear to be uniformly pigmented. However, microscopic examination of them reveals the pattern of  $A_1$  spots that is responsible for this deception. The dots of  $A_1$  are so close together that their distinctiveness can not be seen except when viewed under magnification. (In all studies of variegation, kernel types on ears are examined under magnification in order that details of importance in them would not be undetected.) In the absence of Spm, kernels in which this state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  is present have pigment in them, but this is so light in intensity that detection of it sometimes is difficult. The types of kernels on the ear of the original plant having this state 5996-4 are given in C of table 17. In plant 5996-4, one Spm was present and it was carried in one of its two chromosomes 6. (See footnote to table 17.)

On the ears produced by crosses of plants that were  $a_1^{m-1}$  (original state)  $\times a_1$  to plants that carried either state 5718 or 5719A-1/ $a_1$ ,

the original state of many of the kernels that received  $a_1^{m-1}$ , or a mutant derived from it, could be recognized. Likewise, those that received  $a_1$  from the plant having the original state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  and the derived state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  from the other parent also could be recognized. This may be seen from the photograph of kernel types appearing on one such ear (photo. ).

However, kernels with a ~~distinctly~~ new phenotype appeared on these ears.

They had the pattern of  $A_1$  dots given by the derived state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  but these appeared on a <sup>pale</sup>/pigmented background instead of a colorless background.

This suggested that the plant carrying the original state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  had contributed a mutant of  $a_1^{m-1}$  giving a reduced grade of pigment intensity and that this mutant was stable in the presence of Spm. Tests of plants derived from such kernels indicated the correctness of this interpretation. In other words, the original state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  was giving rise to mutants that were stable in the presence of Spm.

Some of the pale mutants produced by the original state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  that are stable /in the presence of Spm very much resemble in their phenotypic expression that given by some of the derived states in the absence of Spm. This is strikingly illustrated by one type that resembles that produced by state

5719A-1. In the absence of Spm, kernels having state 5719A-1 are deeply pigmented whenever Pr is present in them. However, when the kernels are hom zygous for the recessive allele, pr, the kernels are only lightly pigmented. Some of the stable mutants derived from the original state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  give these same phenotypes with the alleles of Pr. It would appear that the production of either a stable mutant or a new state by the original state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  is associated with the fate of the controlling element at the  $a_1^{m-1}$  locus. Its removal or total inactivation could give rise to the stable mutants whereas a <sup>slight</sup> shift in its location, without inactivation, may be responsible for the origin of some of the altered states. It is apparent, nevertheless, that the production of stable mutants by the original state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  is far more frequent than the production of obviously altered states of the types that have been described in this report.

In the cross of  $a_1^{m-1}$  (original state) /  $a_1$  by  $a_1^{m-1}$  (state 5718 or 5719A-1) /  $a_1$ , the ~~number of Spm elements in the~~ Spm number was known only in the plant carrying the derived state. The Spm number in <sup>most</sup> plants having the original ~~state~~ could not be determined readily from the types of kernels appearing on ears produced by <sup>the</sup> testcrosses conducted with <sup>each</sup> it. The frequency of occurrence of germinal mutation was too high. Nevertheless,

the types of kernels appearing on the ears produced by <sup>the</sup> ~~cross~~ of plants carrying the original state to plants carrying the derived states, whose constitutions were given above, is instructive, and the types of kernels appearing on six ears produced by this type of cross will be given.

There was a total of 2175 kernels with the following phenotypes:

277 with <sup>the</sup>  $A_1$  ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ phenotype, 71 that were uniformly pale colored and

some of these obviously ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ had a derivative of the ~~originalxxxxxxxxxxxx~~  <sup>$m-1$</sup>  the original state of

$a_1^{m-1}$  and introduced by the parent having it, Eighteen other kernels were

uniformly pale colored but superimposed on this were dots or spots of  $A_1$

with a pattern given by the derived state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  used in the cross.

There were 1207 variegated kernels that fell into two distinct classes:

602 exhibited the pattern that is characteristically produced by the

original state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  and in some of these, the presence of the derived

state was suspected, and 605 ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ that exhibited the dots or

characteristically produced by the derived state and spots of  $A_1$  in what appeared to be a colorless background. In addition,

there were 602 colorless kernels. Tests of plants derived from kernels

exhibiting the variegated pattern of the derived state on a pale pigmented

background, and those derived from ones in which both the original state and

the derived state were suspected to be present, confirmed the suspected

constitutions of the kernels from which each was derived. From the former,



the presence of a stable mutant in one chromosome 3 and the derived state either 5718 or 5719A-1, as the case may be, in the other chromosome 3. Plants derived from the latter type of kernel had the original state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  in one chromosome 3 and the derived state in the other.

Tests of  $a_1^{m-1}$  behavior that have been discussed in detail in previous sections of this report were all conducted during the early period of study of  $a_1^{m-1}$ . From them was developed an interpretation of the primary mode of operation of the system responsible for control of gene expression at  $a_1^{m-1}$ . The origin and behavior of different states of  $a_1^{m-1}$  were considered, and the discovery of an independently located element, Spm, whose mode of action was outlined, was likewise treated. Nevertheless, up to this time in the study of  $a_1^{m-1}$ , no previous tests of this interpretation had been conducted.

The interpretation that had been developed implied the following conditions. Spm is ~~an independently located element~~ is the independently located element in the  $a_1^{m-1}$  system, and it is subject to transposition from one location to another in the chromosome complement. In its presence, change occurs at the  $a_1^{m-1}$  locus and this may lead to one of two main consequences. One of them results in a stable mutant expression; Each <sup>such</sup> mutant expresses one mode of gene action, recognized by the degree and

*and degree of production*

anthocyanin  
and kind of pigment that it produces in plant and kernel. The second  
results in an altered state of  $a_1^{m-1}$ , each state expression thereafter one  
particular type of presence to Spm. This is related to control by the  
altered  $a_1^{m-1}$  itself of the time during development when subsequent change  
will occur to it, the types of mutants that these latter changes will  
induce, and the number of cells in which such events will occur. The  
number of Spm elements present in the nuclei of a plant or kernel does not  
alter these qualities of a particular state of  $a_1^{m-1}$ . In the absence of Spm, most  
states of  $a_1^{m-1}$  functions in the production of anthocyanin pigment in both  
plant and kernel, and again, the type and level of its production ~~functions~~  
~~functions~~ is a quality of state of  $a_1^{m-1}$ . This function is suppressed  
in plant and kernel when Spm is present in the nuclei. Removal of Spm from ~~the~~ nucleus  
of a somatic cell will allow the potentially functional activity of the state of  $a_1^{m-1}$   
that is present to be expressed in its descendent cells.

The above statements incorporate the primary conditions imposed by the  
interpretation. ~~More evidence was required in order to test~~ the general  
validity of this interpretation. ~~was required~~ *aimed at this* Therefore, such tests were conducted  
during the summer of 1954. ~~There were many such tests and they will be the~~  
subject of the section of this report ~~that follows~~.

# Verification

Establishment of the ~~Validity~~ of the interpretation of mode of operation of the Spm element and of the individuality of state of  $a_1^{m-1}$

The interpretation of mode of control by Spm of gene expression at  $a_1^{m-1}$ , outlined in previous sections, required precise verification, and tests devised for this purpose were conducted during the summer of 1954.

The interpretation considers that no Spm is present in the uniformly pale ~~colored~~ <sup>pigmented</sup> kernels on ears derived from crosses entered in tables 2 to 8 and 10 to 15, but that it is present in all kernels exhibiting <sup>any</sup> pigmented areas in a colorless background. It also considers that each state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  will respond to any <sup>one</sup> isolate of Spm in <sup>its own</sup> ~~a~~ manner, ~~that is characteristic~~ of the state. In other words, it will be the state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  that will govern the ~~pattern~~ of variegation <sup>with</sup> <sup>isolated</sup> when any one Spm is present. To illustrate, if pollen of a plant homozygous for  $a_1$  and carrying 1 Spm is placed on the silks <sup>of one</sup> of a number of plants, each derived from a pale colored kernel and in each of which a different state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  is present, ~~then~~ on the ear produced by each plant, <sup>one</sup> half of the  $a_1^{m-1}$  carrying kernels should be pale colored and half should be variegated. <sup>the intensity of this depending on the state that is present</sup> Among the latter, <sup>one particular</sup> one pattern of variegation <sup>uniform</sup> among the variegated kernels should <sup>be</sup> express <sup>and it should be</sup> that which is <sup>that controlled by</sup> characteristic of the state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  present in the ear bearing plant.

If the pollen parent should have 2 ~~independently~~ non-linked Spm elements,

then on each of the resulting ears there should be a ratio of one <sup>single</sup> pale colored kernel to 3 variegated kernels among the  $a_1^{m-1}$  <sup>the 1 having</sup> carrying kernels and again, the ~~pattern~~ <sup>the variegated kernels</sup> exhibited by ~~them~~ <sup>1</sup> should be that ~~fixed~~ <sup>controlled</sup> by the state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  that is present.

Several types of test-cross were devised that made it possible to learn whether or not Spm were present in any one plant, and to demonstrate with certainty the ~~control of phenotype by state of~~ <sup>individuality of expression of</sup>  $a_1^{m-1}$ , both in the presence and <sup>in the</sup> absence of Spm. These were conducted during the summer of 1954. The plants to be tested were derived from selected kernels on ears produced by crosses made in previous growing seasons. The types of kernels selected, the number of plants derived from each type, and the origin of the ear from which ~~each~~ <sup>selected</sup> selection was made, is given in table 18. All kernels ~~derived~~ <sup>selected</sup> from one ear were grown under one culture number, that entered in the last column of this table. However, the ~~derived from one ear~~ <sup>sown separately</sup> ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ kernels of each class ~~/XXXXXXXXXX~~ were separated from one another, and ~~grown under the same culture number but to which was added a distinctive letter of the alphabet.~~ If other genetic markers also were segregating among the kernels on the ear, all ~~these~~ <sup>kernel having one</sup> ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ <sup>with one or two markers</sup> phenotype were <sup>1</sup> sown ~~separately~~ <sup>having other phenotypes</sup> from those ~~with another~~ <sup>class being</sup>, each given the same culture number but appropriately distinguished by a letter of the alphabet following the ~~culture~~ <sup>one from the other</sup> number.

The most critical types of test-cross were conducted with plants ~~belonging to~~ <sup>of</sup> three different categories. The plants belonging to the first of these were uniformly pigmented. They were derived from pale colored kernels on ~~the~~ self-pollinated ears of plants <sup>each of which</sup> ~~had~~ <sup>one</sup> particular state of  $a_1^{m-1}$ . <sup>Two</sup> Each of the tester plants in this first category was homozygous for ~~the~~ <sup>one</sup> particular state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  <sup>which</sup> that <sup>was</sup> present in the parent plant. They were also homozygous for  $Sh_2$ . Within this category, four different states were represented, and these are <sup>entered</sup> ~~given~~ in part I of table 19. The culture number of each <sup>is given, -</sup> from which their origin <sup>is given</sup> may be traced through table 18, as well as ~~their~~ <sup>of each</sup> constitutions with regard to genetic markers carried in chromosomes 5, 6, and 9, are also given in this table.

If the plants in part I of table 19 are used in <sup>mixing</sup> crosses of the following types, only pale colored,  $Sh_2$  kernels should appear on the resulting ears:

- (1) Crossed with plants of the standard  $a_1/a_1$  tester stock.
- (2) Self-pollinated or sib-crossed
- (3) Intercrossed with plants in the same category, bringing together <sup>in the number of the envelope of a kernel</sup> two different states <sup>of  $a_1^{m-1}$ .</sup>
- (4) Crossed to plants derived from the pale class of kernels in table 18.

- (5) Crossed to some of the plants derived from the colorless,  $sh_2$  *class* cultures entered in kernels in table 18.

If the <sup>plants</sup> in part I of table 19 are used in <sup>making</sup> crosses of the <sup>given below,</sup> following type, both pale colored,  ~~$sh_2$~~  kernels and variegated,  ~~$sh_2$~~  kernels should appear on the resulting ears:

- (1) Crossed to some of the plants derived from the colorless  ~~$sh_2$~~  *class* kernels in cultures entered in table 18.

(2) Crossed to plants derived from the variegated class of kernels in cultures of table 18 in which the constitution of the plants <sup>are</sup>  $a_1^{m-1} sh_2 / a_1 sh_2$ .

From cross (1) immediately above, all the variegated kernels on the resulting ear should exhibit <sup>a pattern</sup> ~~that~~ <sup>conforms with that</sup> expected to be produced by the state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  present in the tester plant. ~~Also,~~ If one ear of the  $a_1/a_1$  plant received pollen from a tester plant homozygous for one state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  and another ear of the same plant received pollen from a tester plant that was homozygous for another state of  $a_1^{m-1}$ , then among the variegated kernels on ~~each~~ each ear, a distinctive pattern of ~~variegation~~ <sup>among the variegated kernels,</sup> could be expressed, one pattern <sup>among those</sup> on one ear and another pattern on the other ear. The pattern <sup>different in</sup> ~~in each~~ <sup>on the 2 ears</sup> would <sup>reflect</sup> ~~represent~~ the individual <sup>types of</sup> response of ~~the~~ state of  $a_1^{m-1}$ , contributed by the tester stock, to the presence of the same Spm element.

From type-cross (2) immediately above, two class of variegated kernels ~~should~~ appear on the resulting ear. One should exhibit the variegated pattern produced by one state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  superimposed ~~over~~ that produced by the other. These would be the kernels receiving  $a_1^{m-1}$  from each parent. The second class should exhibit only the pattern that is produced by the state of  $a_1^{m-1}$  delivered to it by the tester plant, and these kernels would be those <sup>that</sup> ~~receiving~~ <sup>ed</sup>  $a_1$  from the plant being tested, ~~and  $a_1^{m-1}$  from the tester plant.~~

On the ears produced by the type-crosses described above, it should be possible to determine the Spm number in that part of the plant that produced the ear used in the cross. This could be deduced from the ratio of pale to variegated kernels that appears on the ear. Also, if the plant being tested were heterozygous for one or more of the genetic markers in chromosomes 5, 6, and 9, given in table 19, then the location of Spm with respect to these markers could be determined, provided that <sup>was homozygous for the recessive alleles of</sup> ~~the tester plant used in the cross, these markers were homozygous~~ recessive.

<sup>more than 500</sup> ~~The described~~ test-crosses were <sup>made using</sup> ~~conducted with tester~~ plants in the <sup>of the cultures</sup> ~~first category,~~ those entered in part I of table 19. From the kernel types on the resulting ears it was possible to <sup>determine</sup> ~~detect not only~~ the presence or absence of Spm in many plants, ~~whose phenotypes were known,~~ <sup>in every case</sup> and to correlate <sup>with</sup> the phenotype of the plant, ~~either variegated or uniformly pigmented~~

It was possible to determine

but also, when present, the number of Spm elements ~~that~~ were present in

any one tested part of a plant. In many plants ~~it was also possible to~~

~~determine~~ the location of Spm with reference to the three given genetic

markers, Pr in chromosome 5, Y in chromosome 6 and Wx in chromosome 9. <sup>could be determined</sup>

These tests also ~~served to~~ confirm the individuality of <sup>the different</sup> states of  $a_1^{m-1}$

in <sup>the</sup> control of pattern of variegation in the presence of Spm, and <sup>also the</sup> type of

gene expression <sup>of the above statement are</sup> gives in its absence. Before evidence ~~of this is~~

presented, the usefulness of tester plants in each of the two remaining

categories will be described.

For some types of test, it would have been desirable to use plants

that were homozygous for both  $a_1^{m-1}$  and  $sh_2$ . At the time, no plants of

this constitution had been constructed. Therefore, kernels that were

$a_1^{m-1} sh_2 / a_1 sh_2$  appearing on ears produced by the crosses entered in tables

5 and 8 were selected and plants grown from them. All of them had the

~~state~~ 5719A-1 state of  $a_1^{m-1}$ . Four plants were derived from pale colored,

$sh_2$  kernels, and 6 plants were derived from variegated,  $sh_2$  kernels. The

ears from which each of these were selected is indicated in table 18.

<sup>and determined by the many test crosses conducted with each</sup>  
The ~~phenotypes~~ <sup>constitution</sup> of these plants with respect to Spm and the alleles of Pr,

Y, and Wx are entered in parts II and III of table 19.



The plants in Part II of table 19 were all uniformly pigmented.

When pollen from any one of them was placed on silks <sup>of ears of</sup> of plants in the standard  $a_1$  tester stocks, one-half of the kernels on the resulting ears were uniformly pale colored,  $sh_2$ , and the other half were colorless,  $sh_2$ .

When the same pollen was placed on silks of ears produced by plants derived from the pale,  $Sh_2$  class of kernels <sup>which</sup> had the constitution

$a_1^{m-1} Sh_2$  /  $a_1 sh_2$  <sup>(see table 14)</sup> the ratio of kernel types on the resulting ears were as follows: 2 pale colored,  $Sh_2$  : 1 pale colored,  $sh_2$  : 1 colorless,  $sh_2$ .

However, when the pollen was placed on silks of ears of sister plants,

derived from the variegated  <sup>$Sh_2$  class of both</sup> kernels, pale and variegated kernels appeared on the resulting ear, not only in the  $Sh_2$  class but also in the  $a_1^{m-1} / sh_2$  class.

This indicated that the variegated plant carried ~~an~~ Spm to which the  $a_1^{m-1}$  in the  $a_1^{m-1} / sh_2$  chromosome <sup>contributed by</sup> ~~derived from~~ the tester <sup>plant</sup> ~~stock~~ could respond. It

was important to compare the ratio of pale to variegated in the  $Sh_2$  class

with that in the  $a_1^{m-1} / sh_2$  <sup>carrying</sup> class in order to learn whether or not Spm was located in the ~~same~~ <sup>and close enough to</sup> chromosome 3 that <sup>also</sup> had  $a_1^{m-1}$  and  $Sh_2$ . If so,

the ratio of variegated to pale kernels in the  $Sh_2$  class would be greater

than in the  $sh_2$  class. Tests conducted during the summer of 1954 did not

reveal a case of this but similar types of test conducted in later years

did reveal the presence of ~~an~~ Spm ~~element~~ in chromosome 3 in some plants.